

## EFFECT OF MICRONUTRIENT APPLICATION ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF OKRA IN OLD MEGHNA ESTUARINE FLOODPLAIN (AEZ 19) SOILS OF BANGLADESH

M M H Sarker<sup>\*1</sup>, M Jahiruddin<sup>2</sup>, A Z M Moslehuddin<sup>2</sup> and M R Islam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

### Abstract

There was an attempt of searching out of deficient micronutrient for okra in the Old Meghna Estuarine Floodplain (AEZ 19). The study was conducted in Chandina upazila of Comilla district covering AEZ 19. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with 3 (three) replications at farmers' field during 2011-2012. In this study 7 (seven) treatment combinations including a control were tested and the treatments were designed taking all essential micronutrients except Cl following additive element trial technique. The rates of micronutrients were 3 kg Zn, 2 kg B, 2 kg Cu, 3 kg Mn, 5 kg Fe and 1 kg Mo ha<sup>-1</sup>. Sole application of Zn along with recommended doses of N, P, K and S was found sufficient for the highest yield of pod and stover, pod length and pod diameter whereas pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and average pod weight were showed the highest responses by the combine application of Zn and B. Although, the highest yield of pod and stover (11.23 and 3.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was produced from T<sub>7</sub> treatment, there was observed no additive effect due to the application of micronutrients other than Zn and B. Almost similar trend was observed in other growth and yield parameters, and uptake of different nutrient elements.

**Keywords:** AEZ 19, okra, micronutrients.

### Introduction

Bangladesh has primarily an agro-based economy and soil is the greatest natural resource of its agricultural system. In the recent years, intensification of agricultural land use with modern crop varieties increased remarkably in the country. This situation in turn has resulted in deterioration of soil fertility with emergence of new micronutrient deficiencies. Farmers seldom use micronutrient fertilizers e.g. zinc sulphate, boric acid etc. This practice creates an unbalanced use of fertilizers which in turn produces a negative impact in crop production (Rijpma and Jahiruddin, 2004).

Micronutrient deficiency can limit the crop growth and production. Furthermore, micronutrients help increase the efficiency of the use of macronutrients. Thus micronutrients have great role in the fertilizer programme to achieve higher and sustainable crop yields. Unfortunately micronutrients have received less attention in fertilizer management research, development and extension programme to farmers. On the other hand, continued use of micronutrients may lead to an accumulation of excessive levels that will threaten food safety or quality. In Bangladesh, field trials with micronutrients were concentrated mostly on cereal crops, but it is scanty with vegetables. Among the cereal crops micronutrient trials have been made principally on rice (Jahiruddin *et al.*, 1994), wheat (Hossain, 2005) and maize (Alam *et al.*, 2000). Recently few field trials with micronutrients in vegetables cultivation have been made (Nasreen *et al.*, 2009). The Old Meghna Estuarine Floodplain (AEZ 19) covering a considerable portion of Comilla district is one of the highly intensive agricultural zones of the country. Keeping the above points in view, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of micronutrient application on the growth and yield parameters of okra in the soils of AEZ 19.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in the soils of AEZ 19 at farmer's field in Bokshir Pool village under Chandina upazila of Comilla district during 10 May to 5 October 2011. The soil of the experimental field belongs to Chandina

---

*\*Corresponding author: M M H Sarker, Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh. Email: mosharaf\_srdi@yahoo.com, mosharaf\_soil@sau.ac.bd*

soil series under Non-Calcareous Dark Grey Floodplain Soil type. The variety of okra used in the study was Shorosh (hybrid). The initial concentrations of Zn, B, Cu, Mn and Fe in soils of experimental plot were 0.82, 0.26, 2.49, 37.0 and 5.08 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

**Treatments:** There were six micronutrient containing fertilizer treatments and one control treatment in the experiment. The treatments were designed taking all micronutrients except Cl following additive element trial technique. The treatments were Control (T<sub>1</sub>), Zn (T<sub>2</sub>), Zn+B (T<sub>3</sub>), Zn+B+Cu (T<sub>4</sub>), Zn+B+Cu+Mn (T<sub>5</sub>), Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe (T<sub>6</sub>) and Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo (T<sub>7</sub>). The rates of micronutrients were 3 kg Zn, 2 kg B, 2 kg Cu, 3 kg Mn, 5 kg Fe and 1 kg Mo ha<sup>-1</sup>. The elements (Zn, B, Cu, Mn, Fe and Mo) were added as ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O and Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>, respectively. Other nutrients (macronutrients) were used according to Fertilizer Recommendation Guide (BARC, 2005) for all plots and the doses were 100, 30, 60 and 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N, P, K and S, respectively.

**Experimental design and layout:** The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications, each plot measuring 4m × 5m. The treatments were randomly distributed to the plots in each block. The plots were surrounded by 40cm wide and 10cm high earthen bunds. One meter wide and 10cm deep irrigation channel was made in-between two blocks. The layout of the experiment was done in accordance with the statistical design.

**Seed sowing and intercultural operations:** Okra seeds were sown in 15 May 2011 maintaining 50cm × 40cm spacing. Irrigation, weeding and plant protection measures (e.g., pesticide application) were done as and when necessary.

**Data collection:** The okra fruits (pod) were harvested when they attained at edible stage. Six square meter area in each plot was selected to harvest pod and stover. The weights of pod were taken just after harvest. Harvesting of edible pods was done in 25 June 2011 for the first time and it was continued in every alternate day up to 5 October 2011. The stover yield was expressed on sundry basis. Data on the growth and yield contributing characters were recorded from 10 randomly selected plants from outside the harvested area within a plot, as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The growth and yield components on which data recorded were plant height (cm), pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, edible pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, average pod weight (g), pod length (cm), pod diameter (cm), pod yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Collection and preparation of plant samples for chemical analysis:** Plant samples (pod and stover) were collected at the time of harvesting. The stover samples were air dried immediately after collection and the dry samples were chopped off into smaller pieces. The collected plant samples were then oven dried at 65°C for 24 hours. To obtain homogenous powder, the samples were finely ground by using a grinding-mill to pass through a 60-mesh sieve. The samples were stored in desiccators for determination of N, P, K, S, Zn and B contents.

**Chemical analysis of plant samples:** The processed plant samples were chemically analyzed for determination of N, P, K, S, Zn and B concentrations following standard methods. Nutrient uptake was calculated from the yield and nutrient concentration data using the following formulae-

For N, P, K and S:

$$\text{Nutrient uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Nutrient concentration in plant sample (\%)} \times \text{Yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}/100$$

For Zn and B:

$$\text{Nutrient uptake (g ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Nutrient concentration in plant sample (\mu g g}^{-1}\text{)} \times \text{Yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}/1000$$

Data analysis: The collected data were compiled and tabulated in proper form which was subjected to statistical analyses following standard methodology and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## Results and Discussion

The effects of different treatments were evaluated in terms of growth parameter, pod and stover (crop residue) yield, and nutrient uptake.

**Plant height:** Height of okra plant at final harvest was unaffected by different treatments (Table 1) and it ranged from 112.8 cm in control treatment to 119.5 cm in both T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> treatments.

**Edible pods plant<sup>-1</sup>:** The number of edible pods plant<sup>-1</sup> differed significantly by the treatments (Table 1). The highest number (21.1 plant<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in T<sub>6</sub> treatment which was statistically similar to T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> treatments.

**Pod length:** Pod length responded significantly with the treatments applied and it ranged from 12.01 to 13.69 cm (Table 1). The highest length was produced in T<sub>6</sub> treated plot and it was statistically similar with the results obtained from all other micronutrient treated plots. The control treatment produced the lowest pod length. Kumar *et al.* (2009) reported higher length and diameter of pod by the application of both Zn and B.

**Pod diameter:** Like pod length, diameter of pod also affected significantly by the treatments (Table 1). The highest diameter (1.749 cm) was recorded from T<sub>3</sub> treatment and it was statistically similar to those of all other treatments except T<sub>1</sub>.

**Table 1. Effects of micronutrients on the yield and yield contributing parameters of okra in AEZ 19.**

| Treatments                        | Plant height (cm) | Edible pods plant <sup>-1</sup> (no.) | Pod length (cm) | Pod diameter (cm) | Average pod weight (g) | Pod yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | Pod yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control          | 112.8             | 17.65b                                | 12.01b          | 1.602b            | 10.15c                 | 176c                              | 8.39b                           | 2.35b                              |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Zn               | 118.9             | 18.24b                                | 13.13a          | 1.733a            | 10.34bc                | 199b                              | 9.66a                           | 3.09a                              |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Zn+B             | 119.5             | 20.48a                                | 13.25a          | 1.749a            | 11.12a                 | 210ab                             | 10.94a                          | 3.12a                              |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Zn+B+Cu          | 118.1             | 20.57a                                | 13.30a          | 1.733a            | 10.83ab                | 208ab                             | 10.81a                          | 3.07a                              |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn       | 118.2             | 20.40a                                | 13.53a          | 1.730a            | 11.05a                 | 210ab                             | 11.04a                          | 3.10a                              |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe    | 119.1             | 21.14a                                | 13.69a          | 1.743a            | 11.03a                 | 211ab                             | 11.15a                          | 3.15a                              |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo | 119.5             | 20.97a                                | 13.62a          | 1.737a            | 11.27a                 | 214a                              | 11.23a                          | 3.21a                              |
| CV (%)                            | 2.09              | 5.87                                  | 2.94            | 2.57              | 2.73                   | 3.90                              | 4.02                            | 7.70                               |
| Significance level                | NS                | *                                     | **              | *                 | **                     | **                                | **                              | **                                 |
| SE (±)                            | 1.43              | 0.68                                  | 0.23            | 0.026             | 0.17                   | 4.59                              | 0.24                            | 0.13                               |

For all Tables: Means followed by same or no letter in a column are not significantly different at 5 % level by DMRT; SE (±) = Standard error of means, CV= Co-efficient of variation, \*= Significant at 5% level, \*\*= Significant at 1% level, NS=Non-significant

**Average pod weight:** The applied Zn and B significantly affected average pod weight where the highest weight (11.27 g) was obtained in T<sub>7</sub> treatment (Table 1). No added benefit was noticed by the application of other micronutrients. Kumar *et al.* (2009) found the highest average pod weight by soil application of zinc sulphate and borax along with recommended dose of NPK.

**Table 2. Effects of micronutrients on the nutrient content of okra in AEZ 19.**

| Treatments                        | N (%)  |        | P (%) |        | K (%) |         | S (%) |        | Zn (µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) |        | B (µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--------|--------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|
|                                   | Pod    | Stover | Pod   | Stover | Pod   | Stover  | Pod   | Stover | Pod                      | Stover | Pod                     | Stover |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control          | 0.195b | 0.71b  | 0.063 | 0.174b | 0.096 | 0.446c  | 0.025 | 0.289  | 4.73b                    | 23.5b  | 2.77b                   | 22.8b  |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Zn               | 0.214a | 0.76ab | 0.055 | 0.132a | 0.103 | 0.491bc | 0.026 | 0.286  | 5.48a                    | 29.5a  | 2.70b                   | 23.8b  |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Zn+B             | 0.217a | 0.81a  | 0.054 | 0.136a | 0.105 | 0.577a  | 0.025 | 0.319  | 5.46a                    | 29.8a  | 4.12a                   | 29.8a  |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Zn+B+Cu          | 0.216a | 0.81a  | 0.056 | 0.140a | 0.104 | 0.564a  | 0.026 | 0.305  | 5.41a                    | 30.6a  | 4.10a                   | 29.4a  |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn       | 0.215a | 0.79a  | 0.054 | 0.140a | 0.107 | 0.544ab | 0.027 | 0.299  | 5.52a                    | 29.9a  | 4.16a                   | 29.7a  |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe    | 0.214a | 0.82a  | 0.056 | 0.142a | 0.104 | 0.549ab | 0.027 | 0.304  | 5.43a                    | 30.5a  | 4.10a                   | 28.8a  |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo | 0.215a | 0.77a  | 0.055 | 0.137a | 0.109 | 0.542ab | 0.027 | 0.305  | 5.33a                    | 29.2a  | 3.99a                   | 28.5a  |
| CV (%)                            | 3.62   | 4.64   | 7.22  | 5.22   | 4.61  | 6.26    | 5.57  | 4.58   | 3.00                     | 3.76   | 3.47                    | 3.65   |
| Significance level                | *      | *      | NS    | **     | NS    | **      | NS    | NS     | **                       | **     | **                      | **     |
| SE (±)                            | 0.004  | 0.021  | 0.002 | 0.004  | 0.003 | 0.019   | 0.008 | 0.002  | 0.093                    | 0.63   | 0.07                    | 0.58   |

Note: Nutrient concentration of okra pod was expressed as fresh weight basis

**Pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup>:** Pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup> was significantly affected by different treatments under study (Table 1). The highest pod yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (214 g) was obtained in T<sub>7</sub> treatment which was similar to the yields obtained from T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>6</sub> treatments.

**Yield:** Fruit (Pod) and stover yield of okra significantly responded to the application of Zn only, while the other added five micronutrients had no effects (Table 1). Pod yield ranged from 8.39 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in control treatment to 11.23 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>7</sub> treatment. The T<sub>7</sub> as the highest yield producing treatment was statistically similar to the yield of T<sub>2</sub> - T<sub>6</sub> treatments. The highest stover yield (3.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment and that had statistical similarities to all other micronutrient treatments. The lowest stover yield (2.35 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed from control plot. Kumar and Sen (2004) observed the highest pod yield (147.2 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of okra with the application of Zn.

**Nutrient uptake by okra:** The concentrations of N, Zn and B in both okra pod and stover responded positively to the micronutrient application while S concentration did not affect (Table 2). P and K concentration in pod was unaffected but those in stover were affected significantly. The nutrient uptake as calculated from the yield and nutrient concentration data are presented in Table 3(a) and 3(b) and discussed below.

**Table 3(a). Effects of micronutrients on the nutrient (N, P & K) uptake of okra in AEZ 19.**

| Treatments                        | N uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |       | P uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |         | K uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |         |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------------------|--------|---------|
|                                   | Pod                             | Stover | Total | Pod                             | Stover | Total   | Pod                             | Stover | Total   |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control          | 16.3c                           | 16.7b  | 33.0c | 5.27b                           | 4.09   | 9.64b   | 8.05c                           | 10.5b  | 18.52c  |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Zn               | 20.7b                           | 23.4a  | 44.1b | 5.29b                           | 4.09   | 9.51b   | 9.94b                           | 15.2a  | 25.17b  |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Zn+B             | 23.7a                           | 25.2a  | 48.9a | 5.96a                           | 4.22   | 10.27ab | 11.53a                          | 17.9a  | 29.44a  |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Zn+B+Cu          | 23.4a                           | 24.8a  | 48.2a | 6.00a                           | 4.30   | 10.37ab | 11.21ab                         | 17.4a  | 28.58ab |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn       | 23.7a                           | 24.5a  | 48.2a | 5.98a                           | 4.34   | 10.30ab | 11.85a                          | 16.9a  | 28.71ab |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe    | 23.9a                           | 25.7a  | 49.6a | 6.21a                           | 4.48   | 10.75a  | 11.66a                          | 17.3a  | 28.96ab |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo | 24.1a                           | 24.8a  | 48.9a | 6.13a                           | 4.41   | 10.65a  | 12.20a                          | 17.4a  | 29.60a  |
| CV (%)                            | 4.71                            | 7.62   | 4.69  | 5.48                            | 8.16   | 4.60    | 7.63                            | 10.19  | 7.46    |
| Significance level                | **                              | **     | **    | *                               | NS     | *       | **                              | **     | **      |
| SE (±)                            | 0.61                            | 1.04   | 1.24  | 0.19                            | 0.20   | 0.27    | 0.48                            | 0.95   | 1.16    |

**Table 3(b). Effects of micronutrients on the nutrient (S, Zn & B) uptake of okra in AEZ 19.**

| Treatments                        | S uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        | Zn uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |       | B uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
|                                   | Pod                             | Stover | Total  | Pod                             | Stover | Total | Pod                            | Stover | Total  |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control          | 2.12c                           | 6.80b  | 8.91b  | 39.7c                           | 54.8b  | 95b   | 23.3b                          | 53.2c  | 76.5c  |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Zn               | 2.53b                           | 8.86a  | 11.38a | 53.0b                           | 91.3a  | 144a  | 26.1b                          | 73.4b  | 99.5b  |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Zn+B             | 2.78ab                          | 9.91a  | 12.69a | 59.7a                           | 92.7a  | 152a  | 45.0a                          | 92.4a  | 137.5a |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Zn+B+Cu          | 2.83ab                          | 9.40a  | 12.23a | 58.5a                           | 94.0a  | 153a  | 44.4a                          | 90.3a  | 134.7a |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn       | 2.97a                           | 9.27a  | 12.24a | 60.9a                           | 92.6a  | 154a  | 45.9a                          | 92.0a  | 137.9a |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe    | 3.02a                           | 9.58a  | 12.60a | 60.7a                           | 96.3a  | 157a  | 45.8a                          | 90.8a  | 136.6a |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo | 2.99a                           | 9.79a  | 12.79a | 59.8a                           | 93.6a  | 153a  | 44.8a                          | 91.5a  | 136.3a |
| CV (%)                            | 7.54                            | 9.78   | 8.10   | 4.14                            | 7.47   | 5.15  | 6.10                           | 6.44   | 5.69   |
| Significance level                | **                              | *      | **     | **                              | **     | **    | **                             | **     | **     |
| SE (±)                            | 0.12                            | 0.51   | 0.55   | 1.34                            | 3.79   | 4.28  | 1.38                           | 3.10   | 4.03   |

**Nitrogen uptake:** Nitrogen uptake by okra significantly responded to the treatments applied. The pod uptake of N ranged from 16.3 to 24.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> where the highest uptake was observed in T<sub>7</sub> treatment which was similar to those of T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>6</sub> treatments. The uptake of stover N ranged from 16.7 to 25.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Accordingly, the highest total uptake of N (49.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was resulted from T<sub>6</sub> treatment which was similar to those of all other treatments except T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. Ravi et al. (2008) reported the increment in uptake of NPK significantly over control by application of zinc.

**Phosphorus uptake:** Pod uptake and total uptake of phosphorus by okra was responded significantly by the treatments whereas stover uptake did not respond. The highest uptake of both pod P (6.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and total P (10.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> treatment and it was statistically similar to those of all other treatments except T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> for pod uptake. It could be noted that higher P concentration was observed in control treatment than other micronutrient treatments. This was possibly due to antagonistic relationship between Zn and P in soil-plant system. Barben et al. (2007) noticed a direct negative impact of increasing solution Zn concentration on P uptake in potato.

**Potassium uptake:** Uptake of potassium by okra was significant due to application of micronutrients. Pod uptake ranged from 8.05 to 12.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> while the stover uptake varied from 10.5 to 17.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest total uptake of K was recorded as 29.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically similar to that of T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>6</sub> treatments. It is clear from each fraction of uptake that among six micronutrients addition of only Zn and B was sufficient to have desired uptake of potassium.

**Sulphur uptake:** Sulphur uptake by okra was significantly influenced by the application of different treatments. The highest pod uptake of S (3.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> treatment that was similar to those of other micronutrient treatments. The stover uptake ranged from 6.80 to 9.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Accordingly, the total uptake of S varied from 8.91 to 12.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest total uptake as recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment was similar to the remaining treatments effect other than the control.

**Zinc uptake:** Different micronutrient treatments significantly influenced Zn uptake by okra. The uptake of pod Zn ranged from 39.7 to 60.9 g ha<sup>-1</sup> while the stover uptake varied from 54.8 to 96.3 g ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest total uptake (157 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> treatment which was statistically similar to the uptake induced by the treatments T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>6</sub>. Hossain *et al.* (2008) reported that the Zn and N concentrations of grains were significantly increased with Zn application.

**Boron uptake:** Boron uptake by okra was influenced significantly by different treatments. Uptake of pod B ranged from 23.3 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in control plot to 45.9 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>5</sub> treatment whereas the stover B varied from 53.2 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>1</sub> to 92.4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>3</sub> treatment. The highest total B (137.9 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> treatment which was statistically similar to those of all other treatments except T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The control treatment induced the lowest total uptake of B (76.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by Coordinated Project on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer Management for Crops and Cropping Patterns (BAU component), NATP, BARC during research period. The authors are also grateful to concern authority of Regional laboratory, SRDI, Comilla for providing chemical analytical facilities of different soil and plant samples.

### References

- Alam M S, Islam N and Jahiruddin M. 2000. Effects of zinc and boron application on the performances of local and hybrid maize. *Bangladesh J. Soil Sci.* 26:95-101.
- Barben S A, Nichols B A, Hopkins B G, Jolley V D, Ellsworth J W and Webb B L. 2007. Phosphorus and zinc interactions in potato. *Western Nutrient Management Conference, Salt Lake City, UT.* 219p.
- BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council). 2005. *Fertilizer Recommendation Guide.* BARC, Farmgate, Dhaka-1215, Bangladesh.
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research,* John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Hossain M A, Jahiruddin M, Islam M R and Mian M H. 2008. The requirement of zinc for improvement of crop yield and mineral nutrition in the maize-mungbean-rice system. *Plant Soil.* 306:3-22.
- Hossain M S. 2005. Effects of different methods of zinc application on grain yield and grain zinc concentration of wheat genotypes, MS Thesis, Dept. Soil. BAU, Mymensingh.
- Jahiruddin M, Islam M N, Hashem M A and Islam M R. 1994. Influence of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield and nutrient uptake of BR2 rice. *Prog. Agric.* 5(1):61-67.
- Kumar M and Sen N L. 2004. Effect of zinc, boron and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Annals of Agricultural Research.* 25(4):595-597.
- Kumar S, Chankhar S K and Rana M K. 2009. Response of okra to zinc and boron micronutrients. *Veg. Sci.* 36(3):327-331.
- Nasreen S, Siddiky M A, Ahmed R and Rahman M H. 2009. Response of okra to boron and zinc fertilization. Presented at the Research Review and Programme Planning Workshop on Soils Programme of NARS Institutes. BARC, Dhaka.

- Ravi S, Channal H T, Hebsur N S, Patil B N and Dharmatti P R. 2008. Effect of sulphur, zinc and iron nutrition on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*L.) Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 21(3):382-385.
- Rijpma J and Jahiruddin M. 2004. Strategy and Plan for use of soil nutrient balance in Bangladesh. Final Report of Short-Term Assignment. SFFP/DANIDA.