

## EFFECTIVENESS OF PRILLED UREA AND UREA SUPER GRANULE ON RICE PRODUCTION IN THE EASTERN SURMA KUSHIYARA FLOODPLAIN SOILS

M A Aziz<sup>1\*</sup>, M S Hossain<sup>1</sup>, M M Haque<sup>2</sup> and K M Salahuddin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Senior Scientific Officer, Sylhet Agricultural University Research System, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Public Relation Officer, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh

### Abstract

The experiment was conducted at the farmer's field Alampur, Sylhet to investigate the effect of prilled urea (PU) and urea super granule (USG) on rice during transplanted aman season. Three doses (50, 75 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of nitrogen from PU and USG including a control (no N added) were tested in the Randomized Complete Block Design. The test variety was BRRI dhan31. PU was applied into three equal splits e.g. 1/3<sup>rd</sup> at basal, 1/3<sup>rd</sup> at maximum tillering stage and the remaining 1/3<sup>rd</sup> at panicle initiation stage while USG was applied at deep placed at 10 days after transplanting (DAT). USG at 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest grain yield. The highest total N uptake and N use efficiency were recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (USG 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest net benefit was also observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (USG 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, it can be concluded that USG (75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) is more suitable for rice cultivation.

**Keywords:** USG, rice production, the eastern Surma Kushiya floodplain

### Introduction

Nitrogen fertilizer plays a vital role in the production of modern high yielding rice varieties. Unfortunately, the efficiency of N fertilizer in wetland rice culture is about 30% of the applied N. This low N use efficiency in wetland rice culture is attributed to N loss from the rice fields due to NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization, de-nitrification, runoff and leaching. High NH<sub>4</sub>-N conc. in the flood water, high temperature and high wind velocity are responsible for NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. Deep point placement of USG decreases the de-nitrification process and minimizes urea concentration in flood water, thus reduce N loss and improve N absorption by the rice crop. A good alternative may be the deep placement of USG for higher yield of rice. USG was more efficient than PU at all respective levels of nitrogen in producing all yield component and in turn, grain and straw yields. Mishra *et al.* (1999) conducted an experiment to study the effect of USG in wetland rice soil. Placement of USG @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased both the grain and straw yields of rice compared to PU or USG broadcast. USG deep placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced 0.85 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (20%) higher grain yield than that of equivalent amount of PU-N in Boro season (Aziz *et al.* 2009). USG or urea is the most important fertilizer for crop production. Production will be drastically reduced without urea. The main objective of this study was to observe the effect of PU and USG for the Eastern Surma Kushiya Floodplain.

### Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at farmer's field Alampur, Sylhet. The study area is situated in the Eastern Surma and Kushiya floodplain. The region has broad ridges and basins. Annual maximum temperature is 33.2°C and minimum is 13.5°C, annual rainfall 3334 mm. Soils of the area are predominantly clay to sandy clay loams on the ridges and sandy in the basin. General soil types predominantly include dark yellowish soil. Organic matter content is low on the ridge and moderate in the basin, top soils are moderately acidic but sub soils are neutral in reaction. General soil fertility level is low (BBS, 2005).

The initial soil properties of the experimental site have been presented in Table 1. Soil texture, pH, organic matter, available P, S & Zn and exchangeable K were determined following standard methods (Black, 1965; Jackson, 1962; Olsen *et al.* 1954; Page *et al.* 1982; Walkley and Black, 1935).

---

\*Corresponding author: M A Aziz, Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh, email: [azizsoil@yahoo.com](mailto:azizsoil@yahoo.com).

**Table 1. Initial soil characteristics of the experimental site**

Parameters	Value
Texture	Clay loam
pH	5.1
Total N (%)	0.12 (low)
Available P (ppm)	8.0 (low)
Exchangeable K (meq 100g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	0.17 (medium)
Available S (ppm)	20.0 (medium)
Available Zn (ppm)	1.6 (very high)

There were seven treatment combinations viz. T<sub>1</sub> = Control (No), T<sub>2</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = PU @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> = PU @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> = PU @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Other fertilizer doses on soil test bases were 18 kg P, 36 kg K and 13 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and was applied as TSP, MP and gypsum respectively. BRRI dhan31 was used as test crop.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications having unit plot size of 5 m × 6 m. TSP, MP and gypsum were applied at final land preparation. USG deep-placement would be done at 40 cm distance in every alternate row at 10 DAT. Urea was applied into three equal splits, 1/3 basal, 1/3rd maximum tillering stage and the remaining 1/3rd at panicle initiation stage.

Necessary intercultural operations were done as and when required. At maturity, the crop was harvested from 5 m<sup>2</sup> and grain yield was adjusted to 14% moisture content. The plant height, tiller, panicle, filled grain and sterile grain production and grain & straw yield were recorded. Finally economic analyses were done for net benefit.

## Results and Discussion

### Growth and Yield of Rice

Application of USG and PU increased the plant height of rice over control. The highest plant height was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (USG deep-placement @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub> (USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of USG significantly increased the tiller and panicle numbers and grain yield of rice over control. Maximum number of tiller was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PU broadcast @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (USG deep-placement @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, treatment T<sub>4</sub> produced the highest number of panicle followed by T<sub>5</sub>. Maximum grain yield was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>5</sub>. Maximum grain yield was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>5</sub>. Application of USG increased the straw yield of rice over control. The highest straw yield was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Table 2). Alam and Khaldun (2009 found that 3 pellets of USG (0.9 g) 4 hills<sup>-1</sup> produced the tallest plant (126 cm), longest panicle (26.74 cm), highest grain yield (3.81 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), biological yield (10.59 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (45%) in four varieties of fine rice.

It is appeared from the results that treatment T<sub>4</sub> where USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> gave highest yield.

**Table 2. Effect of PU and USG on the rice production in the Eastern Surma Kushiyara Floodplain soils**

Treatment	Tiller No. m <sup>-2</sup>	Panicle No. m <sup>-2</sup>	% Sterility	1000 grain wt. (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	175	150	26	25	2.60	3.10
T <sub>2</sub>	200	182	20	26	3.38	4.25
T <sub>3</sub>	197	178	22	27	3.27	4.30
T <sub>4</sub>	238	222	20	26	4.74	5.52
T <sub>5</sub>	236	220	24	26	4.55	5.40
T <sub>6</sub>	235	218	23	26	4.45	5.27
T <sub>7</sub>	231	215	24	25	4.31	5.15
LSD (5%)	35	30	4	2	0.38	0.43

T<sub>1</sub> = Control (No), T<sub>2</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = PU @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> = PU @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> = PU @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Total N uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and N use efficiency**

Maximum total N uptake was recorded in the treatment T<sub>6</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub>. The highest N use efficiency was recorded in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>5</sub>. USG deep placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at 5 cm soil depth produced significantly high grain (43.1 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (47.8 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) yields with highest mean N use efficiency (Dash *et al.* 2003).

Deep placement of USG also significantly improved grain yield, straw yield and nitrogen use efficiency of rice and reduced the volatilization loss of ammonia relative to the application of prilled urea (Jena *et al.* 2003)

**Table 3. Effect of PU and USG on the N content and N use efficiency in the Eastern Surma Kushiyara floodplain soils**

Treatment	N content (%)		Total N uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	N use efficiency (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
	Grain	Straw		
T <sub>1</sub>	1.05	0.60	45.90	-
T <sub>2</sub>	1.14	0.61	64.45	15.6
T <sub>3</sub>	1.09	0.66	64.02	13.4
T <sub>4</sub>	1.20	0.65	92.76	28.5
T <sub>5</sub>	1.16	0.64	87.27	26.0
T <sub>6</sub>	1.35	0.63	93.27	18.5
T <sub>7</sub>	1.31	0.63	88.90	17.1

T<sub>1</sub> = Control (No), T<sub>2</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = PU @ 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> = PU @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> = USG deep-placement @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub> = PU @ 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Economic analysis****Net benefit**

Economic analysis on partial budget of the experiment has been presented in Table 4. The net benefit of each treatment was calculated by subtracting the total costs that vary from the gross return. The total costs that vary are the sum of all the costs that vary for a particular treatment. The highest net benefit was found in treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>5</sub>.

**Table 4. Partial budget for the effect of PU and USG on rice production in the Eastern Surma Kushiyara floodplain soils**

Treatment	Gross return (Tk ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (Tk ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Tk ha <sup>-1</sup> )	BCR
T <sub>1</sub>	64400	3720	60680	17.31
T <sub>2</sub>	84600	5900	78700	14.33
T <sub>3</sub>	82600	5900	76700	14.00
T <sub>4</sub>	116880	6980	109900	16.74
T <sub>5</sub>	112600	6980	105620	16.13
T <sub>6</sub>	110080	8060	102020	13.65
T <sub>7</sub>	106800	8060	98740	13.25

Urea = Tk. 20.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>, TSP = Tk 25.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>, MP = Tk.20.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>, Gypsum = Tk. 12.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>, USG = Tk. 20.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>, Paddy = Tk.20.00 kg<sup>-1</sup> and Straw = Tk. 4.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>

USG deep-placement @ 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> appeared to be the economically most effective treatment of this experiment and also produced higher yield than that of USG broadcast and application of PU. USG deep-placement is better than broadcast and prilled urea. USG is a new technology which requires extensive and repeated training to extension worker and farmers.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to the University Grants Commission of Bangladesh (UGC) and Sylhet Agricultural University Research System (SAURES) for providing financial support.

## References

- Alam M S and Khaldun A B M. 2009. Effect of different levels of USG on the performance of fine rice varieties. *Int. J. Sust. Agril. Tech.* 5:31-36.
- Aziz M A, Haque M M and Miah M A M. 2009. Performance of USG on the Growth and Yield of Drum Seeded Wetland Rice. *Eco-friendly Agril. J.* 2:835-838.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2005. Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh-2005. Ministry of Planning, Government of Bangladesh.
- Black C A. 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Part I and II. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Pub., Madison. USA.
- Dash A K, Mishra B K, Jena M K and Jena D. 2003. Effect of dose and application methods of different urea based fertilizers on yield of lowland rice. *Annals Agril. Res.* 24:308-313.
- Jackson M L. 1962. Soil Chemical Analysis. Constable and Co. Ltd. London.
- Jena D, Misra C and Bandyopadhyay K K. 2003. Effect of prilled urea and urea super granules on dynamics of ammonia volatilization and nitrogen use efficiency of rice. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 51:257-261.
- Mishra B K, Das A K, Jena D and Swin S K. 1999. Evaluation of placement methods for urea super granules in wetland rice (*Oryza sativa*) soil. *Indian J. Agron.* 44:710-716.
- Olsen S R, Cole C V, Watanable F S and Dean L A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium carbonate U.S. Dept. Agr. (Circ.). pp.929.
- Page A L, Miller R H and Keeney D R. 1982. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Am. Soc. Agron. Increased. Madison. Wisconsin, USA.
- Walkley A and Black A I. 1935. An examination of the Degtjaref method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* 37:29-38.